

Soft Tissue Tumor

HSIN-NUNG Shih M.D. PROFESSOR DIVISION OF JOINT RECONSTRUCTION DEPARTMENT OF ORTHOPEADIC CHANG GUNG MEMORIAL HOSPITAL CHANG GUNG UNIVERSITY,COLLEGE OF MEDICINE TAIWAN

Soft Tissue Tumor Definition

Nonepithelial extraskeletal tissue Exclusive reticuloendothelial system, glia Voluntary muscles, fat, fibrous tissue, peripheral nervous system Derived from mesoderm (and neuroectoderm)



Soft Tissue Tumor Incidence

*0.3% Benign: Malignant = 100:1 (1983 Enzinger and Weiss 10:1) Malignant □ 0.8% to 1% of all cancers □ 2.0% of all cancer deaths 1990 Campanacci soft tissue sarcoma 20 cases/million population/yr (twice as often as bone tumor)



Estimated new cases of cancer by site

Site	No. cases
Lung	93,000
Breast	88,700
Colon	69,000
Lymphoma	29,000
Central nervous system	10,000
Soft tissue	4,500
Bone	1,900
Dete ere frem Netienel Concer Institute	

Data are from National Cancer Institute's Third National Cancer Survey, 1975



Soft Tissue Tumor Pathogenesis

*Unknown
*Environmental factors
*Oncogenic viruses
*Immunological factors
*Genetic factors
*Radiation



Histological Classification of Soft Tissue Tumors

- •Fibrous (tissue) tumors •Peripheral nerve
- Fibro-histiocytic
- Adipose tissue
- Muscle tissue
- Blood vessels
- Lymph vessels
- Synovial tissue
- Mesothelial tissue

- Autonomic ganglia
- Paraganglionic structures
- Cartilage and bone-forming
- •Pluripotential mesenchyme
- Disputed or uncertain histogenesis
- Unclassified

Most Common Benign and Malignant Soft Tissue Tumors

Benign	Malignant
Ganglion	Rhabdomyosarcoma
Lipoma	Leiomyosarcoma
Myoma, leiomyoma	Malignant fibrous histiocytoma
Fibroma	Fibrosarcoma
Myxoma	Liposarcoma
Hemangioma,	Synovial sarcoma
hemangiomatosis	Soft tissue osteosarcoma
Chondroma	
Neurofibroma	



Location

40%	Lower extremity
-----	-----------------

- **20%** Upper extremity
- 10% Head and neck
- 30% Trunk
 - **10%** Retroperitoneal area
 - **Others** Chest, abdominal wall

*****Location influence on the local treatment option







Grading Broders et al 1939

- * Cellularity
- Pleomorphism or anaplasia
- Mitotic activity
- * Necrosis
- Expansive, infiltrative, invasive growth
- Matrix formation
 - hemorrage, calcification, collagen or mucoid



Grading systems: histological parameters used in different grading systems

		Myhre-		
	Markhede	Jensen	Costa	Coindre
Cellularity	+	+	+	-
Differentiation	-	-	-	+
Pleomorphism	+	+	+	-
Mitotic rate	+	+	+	+
Mecrosis	_	+	+	+



Definition of grading parameters

Parameter	Score
Degree of differentiation	
Close resemblance to adult tissue	1
Cell type clearly recognizable	2
Cell type uncertain	3
Necrosis	
No necrosis	1
<50% necrosis	2
>50% necrosis	3
Mitotic figures	
0-9/10 HPF	1
10-19/10 HPF	2
20+/10 HPF	3
	Total score
Grade	
Grade I	2,3
Grade II	4,5
Grade III	6,7,8

*Modified from Coindre, J.M., et al, Cancer 58:306, 1986







Histological type	Histological grade		
	1	II	III
Fibrosarcoma Infantile fibrosarcoma protuberons Malignant fibrous histiocytoma Liposarcoma Well-differentiated liposarcoma Mycoid liposarcoma Round cell liposarcoma Peomorphic liposarcoma Peomorphic liposarcoma Malignant hemangiopeicytoma Synovial sarcoma Malignant hemangiopeicytoma Synovial sarcoma Malignant schwannoma Malignant schwannoma Extraskeletal chondrosarcoma Mycoid chondrosarcoma Mycoid chondrosarcoma Mycoid chondrosarcoma Malignant granular cell tumor Alveolar soft part sarcoma Epithelioid sarcoma Clear cell sarcoma			





Staging System

American Joint Committee

(AJC)

Enneking System



AJC staging of soft tissue sarcomas: definitions of TNMG

T: Primary tumor

- T1 Tumor less than 5 cm
- T2 Tumor 5 cm or greater
- T3 Tumor that grossly invades bone, major vessel, or major nerve

N: Regional lymph nodes

- N0 No histologically verified metastasis to regional lymph nodes
- N1 Histologically verified regional lymph node metastasis
- **M: Distant metastasis**
- M0 No distant metastasis
- M1 Distal metastasis
- G: Histological grade of malignancy
- G1 Low (well-differentiated)
- G2 Moderate (moderately well-differentiated)
- G3 High (poorly differentiated)

Modified from Russell, W.O., et al.: Cancer 40:1562, 1977



AJC staging of soft tissue sarcomas: definitions of stages

Stage I	
Stage Ia (G1T1N0M0): Grade 1 tumor less than 5 cm in diameter with no regional lymph node or	
distant metastasis	
Stage lb (G1T2N0M0): Grade 1 tumor 5 cm or greater in diameter with no regional lymph node or	
distant metastasis	
Stage II	
Stage IIa (G2T1N0M0): Grade 2 tumor less than 5 cm in diameter with no regional lymph node or distant metastasis	
Stage IIb (G2T2N0M0): Grade 2 tumor 5 cm or greater in diameter with no regional lymph node of	r ,
distant metastasis	
Stage III	
Stage IIIa (G3T1N0M0): Grade 3 tumor less than 5 cm in diameter with no regional lymph node or	
distant metastasis	
Stage IIIb (G3T2N0M0): Grade 3 tumor 5 cm or greater in diameter with no regional lymph node o	r
distant metastasis	
Stage IIIc (G1-3T1-2N1M0): Tumor of any grade or size with regional lymph node but no distant	
metastasis	
Stage IV	
Stage IVa (G1-3T3N0-1M0): Tumor of any grade and any size that grossly invades bone, a major	
vessel, or a major nerve with or without regional lymph node	
metastasis but without distant metastasis	
Stage IVb (G1-3T1-3N0-1M1): Tumor with distant metastasis	

Modified from Russell, W.O., et al.: Cancer 40:1562, 1977



Cytoplasmic staining for glycogen in soft tissue tumors

Usually glycogen positive	Variable	Usually glycogen negative
Rhabdomyosarcoma	Liposarcoma	Fibrosarcoma
Mesothelioma	Leiomyosarcoma	Dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans
Chondrosarcoma	Angiosarcoma	Malignant fibrous histiocytoma
Clear cell sarcoma	Epithelioid sarcoma	Hemangiopericytoma
Ewing's sarcoma	Carcinoma	Synovial sarcoma
Alveolar soft part sarcoma	Malignant melanoma	Malignant schwannoma Neuroblastoma
		Paraganglionoma















Soft Tissue Tumor Clinical Evaluation

Physical examination ✤Plain X-ray ***CT** scan **MRI** Bone scan **Angiogram ***Others



Soft Tissue Tumor *Physical Examination*

Extend of local spread = presence of metastasis

* Size

- Fixation to structures
- Relationship to biopsy site
- Lymph node involvement
- Functional status of involved part
- Confound anatomical structures compromise optimal surgical or radiation therapy



Enneking Staging System

 Surgical rather than histological
 Emphasis on compartmentalization in the extremities



Margin In Anatomical Compartments

Exception

Groin, Knee, Ankle Popliteal space







Soft Tissue Tumor *Physical Examination*

Not Reliable In benign and malignant (except unchanged mass for years)

→Biopsied tissue and histological evaluation



Lymph Node Metastasis

5% incidence
20% epithelioid sarcoma
17% synovial sarcoma
12% rhabdomyosarcoma
Clear cell sarcoma

Poor prognosis
 Rare long-term survivor

Surgery 1978 Weingrad and Rosenberg



Metastasis of Soft Tissue Sarcoma

✤ 5% to 10% in all cases Depend on the site of lesions *107/307 (35%) local recurrence or distant metastasis \$52% in lunge (extremity 70%) Retroperitoneal through abdomen Truncal sarcoma > extremity sarcoma



Actuarial distant control rates at 5 years among 141 pts with G2-3 extremity sarcoma with control of primary lesion after treatment by RT and surgery

Tumor \$	Size	No.	Acturial 5-year
(mm	n)	Patients	distant control
< 25		16	0.92
26-50		42	0.76
51-10	0	47	0.67
101-1	50	17	0.42
>150		19	0.26
Total		141	0.64
	Rosenberg, Suit, Baker 1985		



Natural History

Local recurrenceDistant metastasis









LU 271 F 3142393 RUN 41 RT

01: JUN: 90 LAT TIBIA

> 384 -42

IJ

Site of Soft Tissue Sarcoma

Resectability of a lesion
Potential for local control

Head and neck – vital structures
 Simon and Enneking JBJS 1976
 Local recurrence following surgery
 Buttock – 38% Groin – 14%
 Thigh – 15% B-K – 0%


Biopsy

Fine-needle aspiration
Needle biopsy
Excisional biopsy (<3 cm)
Incisional biopsy (>3 cm)

Frozen sectionLymph node



Surgery no additional adjuvant therapy

More radical surgical procedures Lower local failure rate



Local failure rates in patients with highgrade extremity sarcomas treated by surgery and postoperative radiation

			Local failure
	No.	Follow-up	+distant
Center	patients	(years)	metastases
MGH	72	1-18	12
NCI	128	1-7	10
M.D. Anderson	229	4-22	51
Total	429		73 (17%)



% Local Recurrences (of those who will recur) VS Time Following Curative Surgery



Preoperative radiation followed by surgery in patients with extremity soft tissue sarcomas

		Local	Disease-free	Satisfactory
		Recurrence	Survival	function
Treatment	n	(%)	(%)	(%)
RT/limb salvage	19	1(5)	11(58)	12(63)
Limb salvage	19	7(37)*	7(37)	13(68)
Amputation	16	2(13)	11(69)	2(13)

* p<0.05 compared with RT/limb salvage group; not significantly different from amputation group.
From Enneking, W.F., and McAuliffe, J.A.: Adjunctive preoperative radiation therapy in treatment of soft tissue sarcomas: a preliminary report. Cancer Treat. Symp. 3:37-42, 1985



Surgical procedures for soft tissue extremity sarcomas

	How margin achieved			Microscopic
Margin	Limb-salvage	Amputation	Plane of dissection	appearance
Intracapsular	Intracapsular piecemeal excision	Intracapsular amputation	Within lesion	Tumor at margin
Marginal	Marginal en-bloc excision	Marginal amputation	Within reactive zone- extracapsular	Reactive tissue ± microsatellites tumor
Wide	Wide en-bloc excision	Wide through bone amputation	Beyond reactive zone through normal tissue within compartment	Normal tissue ± "skip lesions"
Radical	Radical en-bloc resection	Radical exarticulation	Normal tissue extracompartmental	Normal tissue

Adapted from Enneking, W.F.:

Staging of musculoskeletal neoplasms. In Current concepts of diagnosis and treatment of bone and soft tissue tumors. Heikberg 1984 Springer-Verlag

Local control of soft tissue sarcomas of the extremities by radical surgery

	Simon and Enneking	Shiu et al
Total no. patients	54	297
Radical local resection	25(46%)	158(53%)
Amputation	29(54%)	139(47%)
Local control		
Radical local resection	88%	72%
Amputation	79%	93%
Overall	83%	82%

From Rosenberg SA, Suit HD, and Baker LH: Sarcomas of the soft tissue. In DeVita VT, Hellman S and Rosenberg SA (editors). Cancer: principles and practice of oncology, et. 2, Philadelphia, 1985, JB Lippincott Co.

Adequacy of margins of radical surgery related to local failure rate

	Negative	Positive
Series	margins	margins
	NO. local failures/total failures	
Simon and Enneking	1/46	8/8
Markhede et al	5/76	16/19
Total	6/122 (5%)	24/27 (89%)

From Rosenberg SA, Suit HD and Baker LH: Sarcomas of the soft tissue. In DeVita VT, Hellman S, and Rosenberg SA (editors): Cancer: principles and practice of oncology, ed. 2, Philadelphia, 1985, JB Lippincott Co





Limb-salvage procedures

Soft-tissue tumor

Radical resection -

En bloc removal of entire muscle compartment

Wide excision -

En bloc removal of tumor and reactive zone plus margin of normal tissue

Marginal excision

En bloc removal of tumor within reactive zone

Intracapsular excision

Debulking or piecemeal

Bone tumor

Radical resection En bloc removal of entire bone

Wide excision

En bloc removal of tumor, reactive zone, and surrounding margin of normal bone

Marginal excision

En bloc removal of tumor through reactive zone

Intracapsular excision Piecemeal or cartilage



Extremity Surgical Therapy

Intracapsular excision □ – Tumor at margin Marginal excision □ – Reactive tissue ± microsatellities tumor Wide excision □ – Normal tissue ± skip lesions Radical excision – Normal tissue



Limb-sparing Procedures Wide local excision

*Most common procedure + post-op RT *Several cm away from tumor (+ skin, scar, closed tumor) *Lymph node dissection *Mark the margin



















Radiation Therapy

Potent treatment modality
Not surgical candidates
Reduce the morbidity of surgery
Reduce the dosage of radiation in combination treatment



Pre-operative Radiation *Advantage*

Restricted volume
Lesser surgical resection
Reduce the seeding





Intraoperative Radiotherapy

Shiu MSKH 1984 Iridium – 192 implants

Little experience
Localized delivery of radiation
Delivery during post-op hospital stay









Radiation Therapy Alone Result

MSKH (McNeer 1968) □ 15/25 > 5 yrs free disease ✤MGH 65 Gy □ 61% > 4yrs local control NCI (Kinsella and Glatstein) 22/29 local control □ 6/29 disease free



Surgery Local Failure

Before 1950s – local excision 60-80% (90%)
Wide excision 30%-50%
Radical excision 20%



Surgery + Post-op RT

No delay in surgery (psychological advantage)
Fewer radiation – induced complication
Grading the specimen
Exact tumor defined



Adjuvant Chemotherapy

Controversial Advantage 85% to 90% (+) □ NCI (1975-1981) 84% to 60% (-) Gherlinzoni (1986) 79% to 54% (-) Negative Mayo Clinic (1984) Dr. Edmonson Antman and Bramwell (1985)













Local Control and Radical Margin (No additional adjuvant therapy)

Proximal thigh and groin
 ---- Easy failure
 Radical amputation for leg and foot tumor – 100%

NCI and MSK cancer center 1975, 1985

Five-year actuarial local control and survival results after preoperative radiation therapy of extremity soft tissue sarcomas (Massachusetts General Hospital experience)

Stage*	No patients	5-year results local control	Disease-free survival
IA	5	1.00	1.00
IB	13	1.00	1.00
IIA	19	0.79	0.81
IIB	17	0.74	0.59
IIIA	13	1.00	0.83
IIIB	20	0.72	0.48
IIIC-IVA	3	0.75	1.00
Total	90	0.83	0.74

*AJC staging classification

From Suit HD, Mankin HJ, Schiller AL, et al: Results of treatment of sarcoma of soft tissue by radiation and surgery at Massachusetts General Hospital, cancer Treat, Symp. 3:43-47, 1985

Modification of Radiotherapy

*Eilber et al UCLA 1985*Initial IA doxorubicin (30 mg/day x 3)
RT 3.5 Gy fractions x 10 days
1-2 wks interval
Wide or marginal excision


Soft Tissue Tumor

Modification of Radiotherapy

© Eilber et al UCLA 1985 ✤ 3/77 (4%) initial amputation ✤ 3/77 (4%) local recurrence ✤ 64% disease free > 5 yrs 35% complication rate in 13/77 (17%) □ 17.5 Gy pre-op RT 25% complication rate □ 8% local recurrence















1.0 4/86 p2 = .540.9 0.8 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 Soft tissue sarcoma - Surgery 0.2 **Total fail Radical surgery** 16 0.1 4 = 27 **Conservative surgery** 9 0.0 20 50 80 90 100 10 30 60 40 70 0 **Remission in months**



Proportion in remission





Soft Tissue Tumor

Adjuvant Chemotherapy

Doxorubicin 25% (2.5% complete + 22.5% partial)
Dimethyltriazenoimidazole (DTIC) 15% (in 109 patients)
Combination 32%

Current standard choice Doxorubicin-based combination



















































Cortical Destruction with Marrow Extension

Differential Diagnosis: Primary Soft Tissue Tumor vs. Primary Bone Tumor

Epicenter



Periosteal Reaction

Size of Lesion



small bone lesion large soft tissue mass





outside cortex



cortex beveled toward bone



Primary Bone Tumor



Within bone



cortex beverled toward soft tissue



present



significant bone destruction small soft tissue mass





CHANG-GUNG MEMORIAL HOSPITAL LINKOU MEDICAL CENTER TAIWAN

THANK YOU !